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# First Session: Bayesian optimization fundamentals 

## Introduction.
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- Many choices at each step.
- Complicated and high dimensional.
- Difficult for individuals to reason about.
- Prone to human bias.
- It may be possible to test various models in parallel.

Optimization is a challenging task in real-life choices!
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Explore millions of candidate molecule structures to identify the compounds with the best properties.
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Example: control system for a robot that is able to grasp objects.


Finger Joint Trajectories


Parameters to tune: initial pose for the robot's hand and finger joint trajectories.

## Optimization Problems: Common Features

- Very expensive evaluations.



## Optimization Problems: Common Features

- Very expensive evaluations.

- The objective is a black-box.



## Optimization Problems: Common Features

- Very expensive evaluations.

- The objective is a black-box.

- The evaluation can be noisy.



## Optimization Problems: Common Features

- Very expensive evaluations.

- The objective is a black-box.

- The evaluation can be noisy.

- Evaluations may be done
 in parallel.
Bayesian optimization methods can be used to solve these problems!
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$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{j}(\mathbf{x}) & =\tanh \left(\sum_{i=1}^{I} x_{i} w_{j i}\right) \\
f(\mathbf{x}) & =\sum_{j=1}^{H} v_{j} h_{j}(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

Posterior Dist.
$p(\mathbf{W} \mid$ Data $)=p(\mathbf{W}) p($ Data $\mid \mathbf{W}) / p($ Data $)$
Predictive Dist.
$p(y \mid$ Data,$x)=\int p(y \mid \mathbf{W}, x) p(\mathbf{W} \mid$ Data $) d \mathbf{W}$
Challenges: The model should be non-parametric (the world is complicated) and computing $p$ (Data) is intractable!

Solved by setting $p(\mathrm{~W})=\prod_{i j} \mathcal{N}\left(w_{j i} \mid 0, \sigma^{2} H^{-1}\right)$ and letting $H \rightarrow \infty$ !

## Bayesian Optimization vs. Uniform Exploration



Tuning LDA on a collection of Wikepida articles (Snoek et al., 2012).
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Structured SVM for protein motif finding (Snoek et al., 2012).
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GP fitting an unknown function using Maximum Likelihood


GP fitting an unknown function sampling hyperparameters
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## MCMC estimation vs. Maximization



Logistic regression on the MNIST (Snoek et al., 2012).
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- Different inputs may have different computational costs, e.g., training a neural network of increasing hidden layers and units.
- Better to do cheap evaluations before expensive ones!
- The evaluation costs are unknown but they can be recorded and then modeled with an additional Gaussian process.

Expected Improvement per-second:

$$
\alpha(\mathrm{x})=\frac{\sigma(\mathrm{x})(\gamma(\mathrm{x}) \Phi(\gamma(\mathrm{x}))+\phi(\gamma(\mathrm{x})))}{\exp \left\{\mu_{\text {log-time }}(\mathrm{x})\right\}}
$$

(Snoek et al., 2012)
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Deep neural network on the CIFAR dataset (Snoek et al., 2012)
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## Gaussian processes are not the only probabilistic surrogate model!

1. Computationally expensive: GPs scale poorly due to cubic observation complexity.
2. Fixed covariance structure: GPs assume a fixed structure in data covariance (stationarity), limiting their ability to model complex data patterns.
3. Difficulties with high dimensionality: GPs struggle to perform well in high-dimensional search spaces.
4. Random Forests: We use its empirical predictive distribution. Perform well in hierarchical spaces, are robust to outliers and scale well.
5. Bayesian neural networks: Able to model complex patterns and scalable.
6. Deep Gaussian Process: Increased expressivity, advantages of GPs.
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Ensemble method where the predictors are random regression trees trained on random subsamples of the data.


- Trees are grown on different bootstrap samples of the data.
- At each node the best splitter is chosen randomly.
- Leaf nodes predict the average value of the points reaching that node.
- This guarantees that each tree is slightly different.

Very cheap to compute and massively paralelizable!
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$$
p\left(f^{\star} \mid \mathcal{D}_{n}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(f^{\star} \mid \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}^{2}\right)
$$

(Hutter et al., 2011)
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## Random Forest in Practice

 Random Forest
(Shahriari et al., 2016)

- Allows for a lot of evaluations (good when the objective is cheap).
- Too confident intervals in far away from the data regions.
- Conflictive predictions can cause the variance to be too high.
- Discontinuous: Difficult to optimize the acquisition function.
- No parameters to tune.
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They are an alternative to GPs to allow for a large number observations!

The posterior distribution of the networks weights W is intractable!
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## Bayesian Neural Networks: Predictive Distribution

Several techniques considered to approximate the predictive distribution:

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods.
- Variational Inference.
- Expectation Propagation.
- Reinterpretations of dropout.
- Point estimates and Bayesian linear-models in the last layer.

Trade-off between accuracy of the predictive distribution and scalability! Still a lot of research going on!
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## Software for Bayesian Optimization

Many of the methods described are implemented into BOTorch using Python. https://botorch.org/

BOTorch's super-nice features:

1. Modularity: Plugin new models, acquisition functions and optimizers.
2. PyTorch: Easily integrate neural network modules. Native GPU and Autograd support.
3. Scalability: Support for scalable GPs via GPyTorch.
4. Advanced scenarios: Advanced research coded into tutorials about complex BO scenarios.

Other tools: SMAC3 (Python-RFs), GPyOpt (Python3), Spearmint (Python2.7-sampling), mlrMBO (R).

## Time to practice!

1. Bayesian optimization of a benchmark optimization function.
2. Bayesian optimization of the hyper-parameters of a machine learning model.
3. Bayesian optimization of the hyper-paramaters of a deep reinforcement learning algorithm.


## Second Session: Advanced Bayesian optimization.

## (Parallel) Multi-objective Bayesian optimization with constraints.
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## Goals:

- Minimize prediction error.

Constrained to:

- Chip area below a value.
- Power consumption below a level. time.


Challenges:

- Complicated constraints.
- Conflictive objectives.
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\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \approx \sum_{c=1}^{C} \log v_{c}^{P D}(\mathbf{x})-\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M}\left(\sum_{c=1}^{C} \log v_{c}^{C P D}\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{X}_{(m)}^{\star}\right)\right)+ \\
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \log v_{k}^{P D}(\mathbf{x})-\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \log v_{k}^{C P D}\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{X}_{(m)}^{\star}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{C+K} \alpha_{i}(\mathbf{x})
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$\mathrm{v}_{1}^{\mathrm{PD}}(\mathrm{x})$

$\mathrm{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{PD}}(\mathrm{x})$


Sample of $\mathcal{X}^{\star}$


Sample of $\mathcal{X}^{\star}$


$\mathrm{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{CPD}}\left(\mathrm{x} \mid \mathcal{X}_{1}^{\star}\right)$

$\alpha_{1}(\mathrm{x})$


$$
\alpha_{2}(\mathrm{x})
$$
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## Parallel Bayesian Optimization

Traditional Bayesian optimization is sequential!


Computing clusters let us do many things at once!


Parallel experiments should be highly informative but
different!

## Parallel Predictive Entropy Search
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## Parallel Predictive Entropy Search

Choose a set $Q$ points $\mathcal{S}_{t}=\left\{\mathrm{x}_{q}\right\}_{q=1}^{Q}$ to minimize the entropy of $\mathrm{x}^{\star}$.


It is possible to compute the gradient of $\alpha(\cdot)$ w.r.t. each

$$
x_{q} \in \mathcal{S}_{t}!
$$

(Shah and Ghahramani, 2015)

## Parallel Predictive Entropy Search: Level Curves




(Shah and Ghahramani, 2015)

## Parallel Predictive Entropy Search: Results


(a) boston


(b) hydrogen


(c) rocket


(d) robot
(Shah and Ghahramani, 2015)
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The BO algorithm may get stuck and may always perform the next evaluation at the same input location!
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Posterior Standard Deviation


Similar results for categorical variables!

## BO with Integer-valued and Categorical Variables



One continuous variable and two integer-valued variables.

## Freeze-Thaw Bayesian Optimization

Common aspects of many machine learning algorithms:

## Freeze-Thaw Bayesian Optimization

Common aspects of many machine learning algorithms:

1. A minimization step must be performed with, e.g., gradient descent.

## Freeze-Thaw Bayesian Optimization

Common aspects of many machine learning algorithms:

1. A minimization step must be performed with, e.g., gradient descent.
2. There are hyper-parameters that impact the final performance.

## Freeze-Thaw Bayesian Optimization

Common aspects of many machine learning algorithms:

1. A minimization step must be performed with, e.g., gradient descent.
2. There are hyper-parameters that impact the final performance.


## Freeze-Thaw Bayesian Optimization

Common aspects of many machine learning algorithms:

1. A minimization step must be performed with, e.g., gradient descent.
2. There are hyper-parameters that impact the final performance.


Can we use partial training information and a model to determine which hyper-parameter configuration is going to be optimal?

## Freeze-Thaw Bayesian Optimization

Common aspects of many machine learning algorithms:

1. A minimization step must be performed with, e.g., gradient descent.
2. There are hyper-parameters that impact the final performance.


Can we use partial training information and a model to determine which hyper-parameter configuration is going to be optimal?

Yes, that is precisely what Freeze-Thaw BO does!
(Swersky et al., 2014)
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The covariance between inputs $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ is:

$$
C\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t^{\prime}} \psi(\lambda ; \alpha, \beta) d \lambda=\frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\left(t+t^{\prime}+\beta\right)^{\alpha}}
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where $\psi(\lambda ; \alpha, \beta)$ is a gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

(a) Exponential Decay Basis

(b) Samples

(c) Training Curve Samples
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Hierarchical generative model:
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Hierarchical generative model:

$$
p\left(\left\{\mathrm{y}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N} \mid\left\{\mathrm{x}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N}\right)=\int\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{y}_{n} \mid f_{n} 1, \mathrm{~K}_{t_{n}}\right)\right] \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) d \mathrm{f}
$$

where
$\mathrm{x}_{n} \equiv n$ configuration,
$f_{n} \equiv n$ asymptotic value,
$\mathrm{K}_{t_{n}} \equiv$ covariances for curve values, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}} \equiv$ cov. for asymptotic values
The joint distribution of $\{\mathrm{y}\}_{n=1}^{N}$ and f is Gaussian and hence so it is the predictive distribution $p\left(\mathrm{f} \mid\{\mathrm{y}\}_{n=1}^{N}\right)$ !
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(a) Graphical Model

(b) Training curve predictions

(c) Asymptotic GP

## Bayesian Optimization:

- $p\left(f \mid\left\{\mathrm{y}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N},\left\{\mathrm{x}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N}\right)$ determines asymptotic values.
- This distribution can be used to make intelligent decisions!
- Shall we train more one configuration or shall we start a new one?
- A combination of El and ES is used as the acquisition function.
(Swersky et al., 2014)
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(Swersky et al., 2014)

## Multi-fidelity Bayesian optimization



- Concept of Fidelity: Fidelity refers to the accuracy or reliability of the information, we use different levels of accuracy.
- Example: \# layers in NNs, \# of timesteps for DRL.
- We assume that lower fidelities are correlated with higher.
- Cost-Efficiency: Leveraging computationally cheaper versions of the functions to guide the search process.
- The trick: The acquisition function should balance exploration at cheaper fidelities with exploitation at the highest fidelity level.


## Multi-fidelity Bayesian optimization example

- Trace-aware knowledge-gradient acquisition function.
- It values observations of a point $(x)$ and a set of fidelities $(\mathcal{S})$ according to the ratio of the reduction in expected loss that it induces, to its computational cost.
- It measures the value of information per unit cost of sampling.
- It uses a function $L()$ to measure the extent to which observing trace information improves the quality of the solution. $L(0)$ will be the minimum.
- Its analytical expression basically penalizes the cost wrt the information obtained:

$$
\operatorname{takg}(x, \mathcal{S})=\frac{L(0)-L(x, \mathcal{S})}{\operatorname{cost}(x, \max (\mathcal{S}))}
$$

## High-dimensional Bayesian optimization



- GPs empirical performance tends to be lower if $d>7$.
- The problem: The search space grows exponentially with the number of dimensions.
- The trick: We can project the high-dimensional problem into a lower-dimensional subspace that explains it well using embeddings.
- Find the hidden most explicative manifold for the data, then optimize there!
- Approaches differ in the type of embeddings (e.g. random), assumptions about the function (e.g. being a sum of functions), or use of models (Deep GP, GP-LVM.)


## High-dimensional Bayesian optimization example

- Sparse Axis-Aligned Subspace Bayesian Optimization (SAASBO)
- Goal: Identify sparse subspaces relevant to modeling the unknown objective function.
- Assumption: High function variability being captured by axis-aligned blocks of input features.
- Method: Use complex GP prior to consider a smaller class of functions.
- Effect: Turn most non-explicative dims off,
- Integration: Perform hyper-parameter sampling with NUTS and EI.


## Bayesian optimization in a simplex (Portfolio optimization)




- Common financial metrics as Sharpe or Sortino ratio can be configured for a specific portfolio.
- For example using real-time ESG values of the assets.
- Garrido-Merchán, E. C., Piris, G. G., \& Vaca, M. C. (2023). Bayesian optimization of ESG (Environmental Social Governance) financial investments. Environmental Research Communications, 5(5), 055003.


## Conclusions and Further Work

- BO is a state-of-the-art class of methods used to optimize expensive and noisy black-box functions.
- We can generalize BO to tackle advanced scenarios: parallel constrained multi-obj, high-dim, multi-fidelity...
- BO can be applied in a wide array of applications: ML, DRL, finance, robotics, materials, business operations...

- Further work topics: causality, meta-BO, transfer learning, adaptation to specific domains.
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